Ar. Thomas J. Helley, Asst. Mir. U.S.Secret Service Room Bab, 1800 G St., N Westington, U.C. 80285

Dear Mr. Holley.

Thonk your very much for your latter of the elewath. Where it is direct and unaquivocal I especially appreciate it.

With regard to the Ferrie Comments, it may turn out that I move them. If there is a means by which I might be able to correlate Secret Service Control Numbers with Commentation Dominant numbers, I think it might be seen or for all of us.

the regard to the film, where you write so fortherightly, teo constions remain. I hope you can either help as eith them or direct se to where such information might be obtained.

The number of hereys for which or. Hellernen eigned a receipt coincides with these counserated and described by the Atterney Coneral's penal. This does not include, beyond any possibility of question, all the hereys token. If you desire further information on this I will be upper to supply it. That I seek to learn relates to these mission kereys, how they left the hospital (the Navy neutres we it got rid of everything, even where regulations required otherwise), with show, to show, what happened to them one where they now are.

The photographs were delivered to the Decret Service exposed but undersloped. I hope it is not presuming too much to presume the Secret Service has a record of what it have did with these exposed film. I take your attitude, we have no record of the processing of the film to include that the Secret Service did not process it or did not have it processed. If I do not hear further from you on this (and I have no desire to cause you unnecessary lotter writing), I will seeme this to be correct. So, I sak, do you know no did process this film, whether or not you have a record of the processing? While I sould marker not to be put to a laborious tracing of the film, I am prepared to do this is necessary. If you cannot or feel you should not (which I can understand if you do) tell me what you should not it, you can tall me to whom you delivered it. he so both know, you also had some of it in your passession after processing, and you should make its source. From my meeting with you I have the impression that you do not personally went any such clouds to receip. I have you can find it spessible to not personally went any such clouds to receip. I have you can find it spessible to not personally went any such clouds to receip. I have you can find it appears to a not personally went any such clouds to receip. I have you can find it appears to a not personally went any such clouds to receip.

in your interest and that of the Secret Service, I take a liberty I trust you will not misunderstand in pointing out where the record now stands on the X-rays and the possibile interpretations, now and in history: the Sec et Service had possessing of them to the time of the compranding of transfer; some of them have disappeared; there is unexplained damage. I do not tell you this

es ony subtle accusation. But please believe me, other i-rays were required to be taken, were ordered to be taken, were taken, and you had two exents there who heard and saw this. The panel report is not helpful against ony inference that might be drawn against the Secret Parvice, for it describes the listed I-rays and not one of those to which I refer is included.

If you can help with with hale problem, I think it will serve every proper interest.

My pesterday's phone calls were to let you know I nave received nothing further from the prolives. They have not responded to my written inquir either. I have been delayed on the memorandum of tremefer for el most 16 months, more time two months since your elected to make a copy available to me only through the Sational Archives, which is now electing to suppress it. I hope you will understand my feeling, that I have been more than potiont, that I have tries to be as accompleting to every interest as possible, even when this was against what - regard on my personal interest and higher interacte I hope I serve. I cannot, in med conscience, portpane what " have alredy long held in sheyence. This is further complicated by a special interpretation of the law convered to me by Mr. Molemp. While - regard it as invalid, I cannot ignore it. for he ass conveyed the current official interpretation to me. It is not consistent with page 24 of the attorney General's memorandum of this law. When you chose, as the egency of paramount interest, to make this available to me only through the Matienal Trahives and they decide, I believe in an open offwont to the law and conspicuous violation of the executive order, to suppress it, what choices remain to me?

If I have regrets, I also have obligations I casset and will not saun.

Sincerely.

Harold Wateborg